

# Reduction of Order

MAT 275

Given a solution of a linear, homogeneous second-order ODE, it is possible to find another solution using a technique called **reduction of order**. The coefficients of  $y$  or its derivatives do not necessarily have to be constants.

Assume that the ODE has the form  $a(x)y'' + b(x)y' + c(x)y = 0$  and that  $y_1(x)$  is a solution.

We define the other solution  $y_2(x) = v(x)y_1(x)$ , where  $v(x)$  is to be determined. Using the product rule, we find  $y_2'(x)$  and  $y_2''(x)$ :

$$y_2'(x) = v(x)y_1'(x) + v'(x)y_1(x),$$

$$y_2''(x) = v(x)y_1''(x) + 2v'(x)y_1'(x) + v''(x)y_1(x).$$

These are substituted into the differential equation and simplified (next slide)

For the sake of space, we write  $y_2 = vy_1$ ,  $y_2' = vy_1' + v'y_1$  and  $y_2'' = vy_1'' + 2v'y_1' + vy_1''$ :

$$a(x)(vy_1'' + 2v'y_1' + v''y_1) + b(x)(vy_1' + v'y_1) + c(x)vy_1 = 0$$

Distribute to clear parentheses and regroup according to degrees of  $v$ :

$$v''[a(x)y_1] + v'[2a(x)y_1' + b(x)y_1] + v[\underbrace{a(x)y_1'' + b(x)y_1' + c(x)y_1}_0] = 0$$

Notice that the expression multiplied to  $v$  is 0:  $y_1$  is a solution of the original differential equation so this expression is 0. We are left with

$$v''[a(x)y_1] + v'[2a(x)y_1' + b(x)y_1] = 0.$$

Replacing  $v'$  with  $u$  (and  $v''$  with  $u'$ ), we have a first-order ODE. Thus, we have reduced the order, and can be solved using separation of variables or integration factors.

$$u'[a(x)y_1] + u[2a(x)y_1' + b(x)y_1] = 0.$$

**Example:** Let  $y_1 = e^{2x}$  be one solution of  $y'' - 4y' + 4y = 0$ . Use reduction of order to find another solution.

**Solution:** Using the form  $u'[a(x)y_1] + u[2a(x)y_1' + b(x)y_1] = 0$ , we have  $a(x) = 1$ ,  $b(x) = -4$ ,  $y_1 = e^{2x}$  and  $y_1' = 2e^{2x}$ . Thus, we substitute:

$$u'[(1)(e^{2x})] + u[2(1)(2e^{2x}) + (-4)(e^{2x})] = 0.$$

In this example, the expression  $2(1)(2e^{2x}) + (-4)(e^{2x}) = 0$ , so we have

$$e^{2x}u' = 0.$$

Thus,  $u' = 0$ , so integrating,  $u = k_1$ , a constant. But since  $v' = u$ , we integrate again to find  $v$ , getting  $v = k_1x + k_2$ . Since  $y_2 = vy_1$ , we have  $y_2 = (k_1x + k_2)e^{2x}$ .

Since  $y_1 = e^{2x}$  and  $y_2 = (k_1x + k_2)e^{2x}$  are solutions of  $y'' - 4y' + 4y = 0$ , the general solution is

$$y = C_1y_1 + C_2y_2 = C_1e^{2x} + C_2(k_1x + k_2)e^{2x}.$$

Clearing parentheses, we have

$$y = C_1e^{2x} + C_2k_1xe^{2x} + C_2k_2e^{2x}.$$

We can combine the first and third term, calling  $C_1 + C_2k_2$  as “new”  $C_1$ , and  $C_2k_1$  as “new”  $C_2$ . Thus, the general solution can be written

$$y = C_1e^{2x} + C_2xe^{2x}.$$

You may recall that the auxiliary polynomial,  $r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0$  has root  $r = 2$ , multiplicity 2. One solution is  $y_1 = e^{2x}$  and the other  $y_2 = xe^{2x}$ . This process “justifies” that extra  $x$ .

**Example:** Given that  $y_1 = t^2$  is one solution of  $t^2 y'' + \frac{t}{2} y' - 3y = 0$ . Find another solution  $y_2$  of this differential equation, show that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent, and state the general solution.

**Solution:** Set  $y_2 = vt^2$ , keeping in mind that  $v$  represents some function  $v(t)$ , and that  $u(t) = v'(t)$ . Using the form  $u'[a(x)y_1] + u[2a(x)y_1' + b(x)y_1] = 0$ , we have  $a(x) = t^2$ ,  $b(x) = \frac{t}{2}$ ,  $y_1 = t^2$  and  $y_1' = 2t$ . Substituting, we have

$$u'[(t^2)(t^2)] + u \left[ 2(t^2)(2t) + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)(t^2) \right] = 0.$$

Simplifying, we have

$$t^4 u' + \frac{9}{2} t^3 u = 0.$$

From the last screen, we have  $t^4 u' + \frac{9}{2} t^3 u = 0$ .

Divide through by  $t^4$ :

$$u' + \frac{9}{2t} u = 0, \quad t \neq 0.$$

This declaration that  $t$  cannot be 0 is important when we get to the Wronskian step.

This solves using an integration factor:  $\mu(t) = e^{\int \frac{9}{2t} dt} = e^{\frac{9}{2} \ln t} = e^{\ln t^{9/2}} = t^{9/2}$ .

$$\text{Thus, } u(t) = \frac{\int (t^{9/2})^{-1} (0) dt + C}{t^{9/2}} = Ct^{-9/2}.$$

But remember, we want  $v(t)$ , where  $v'(t) = u(t)$ . Thus, integrate once to find  $v(t)$ :

$$v(t) = \int Ct^{-9/2} dt = -\frac{2}{7} Ct^{-7/2} + D.$$

Since  $v(t) = -\frac{2}{7}Ct^{-7/2} + D$ , then

$$y_2(t) = v(t)y_1(t) = \left(-\frac{2}{7}Ct^{-7/2} + D\right)t^2 = -\frac{2}{7}Ct^{-3/2} + Dt^2.$$

The general solution is

$$\begin{aligned}y &= C_1y_1(t) + C_2y_2(t) = C_1t^2 + C_2\left(-\frac{2}{7}Ct^{-3/2} + Dt^2\right) \\ &= C_1t^2 - \frac{2}{7}C_2Ct^{-3/2} + C_2Dt^2.\end{aligned}$$

We can combine  $C_1t^2 + C_2Dt^2$  as  $C_1t^2$ , where “new”  $C_1 = C_1 + C_2D$ , and we can let “new”  $C_2 = -\frac{2}{7}C_2C$ , so that the general solution is (probably):

$$y = C_1t^2 + C_2t^{-3/2}.$$



Let's make sure that  $y_2 = t^{-3/2}$  solves  $t^2 y'' + \frac{t}{2} y' - 3y = 0$ . Taking derivatives, we have  $y_2' = -\frac{3}{2} t^{-5/2}$  and  $y_2'' = \frac{15}{4} t^{-7/2}$ . Substituting then simplifying, we have

$$t^2 \left( \frac{15}{4} t^{-7/2} \right) + \frac{t}{2} \left( -\frac{3}{2} t^{-5/2} \right) - 3(t^{-3/2}) = 0$$

$$\frac{15}{4} t^{-3/2} - \frac{3}{4} t^{-3/2} - 3t^{-3/2} = 0$$

$$t^{-3/2} \left( \frac{15}{4} - \frac{3}{4} - 3 \right) = 0 \dots \quad \text{It works.}$$

Next slide, we'll check they are linearly independent.

We now show that  $y_1 = t^2$  and  $y_2 = t^{-3/2}$  are linearly independent:

$$\begin{aligned} W(t^2, t^{-3/2}) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t^{-3/2} \\ 2t & (-3/2)t^{-5/2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}t^{-1/2} - 2t^{-1/2} \\ &= -\frac{7}{2}t^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that three slides ago, we had to declare that  $t \neq 0$  because we needed to divide through by  $t^4$ . Thus, since  $t \neq 0$ , the expression  $-\frac{7}{2}t^{-1/2}$  cannot be 0. This means that the two functions  $y_1 = t^2$  and  $y_2 = t^{-3/2}$  are linearly independent and that

$$y = C_1 t^2 + C_2 t^{-3/2} \text{ is the general solution of } t^2 y'' + \frac{t}{2} y' - 3y = 0.$$